eSNR Improvement in Indirect Detection of mid-IR Signals by Wavelength Conversion in SOS Waveguides
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ABSTRACT

With a transparency window up to 6 μm, sapphire can serve as a platform to support silicon photonic integrated circuit in MWIR. Planar waveguide devices based on silicon-on-sapphire (SOS) are emerging as a bridge between MWIR and SWIR through frequency band conversion process. While these devices are widely proposed to amplify MWIR signals and generate MWIR source, it can also be inversely utilized to achieve MWIR light detection. Here MWIR signals are down-converted to telecommunication wavelength (1.55 μm) through SOS waveguides and indirectly detected by SWIR detectors. Since detectors at telecommunication wavelengths exhibit superior performances in terms of speed, noise and sensitivity, the indirect detection scheme can be a promising candidate to improve the detection performance. In this report, we analyze performance of the indirect detection of MWIR signals by wavelength conversion in SOS waveguides. Particularly we modeled and compared the noise performance of the indirect detection with direct detection using state-of-the-art MWIR detectors. We show that, in addition to advantages of room temperature and high speed operation, the proposed indirect detection can improve the electrical signal-to-noise ratio up to 50dB, 23dB and 4dB using state-of-the-art MWIR detectors. We show that, in addition to advantages of room temperature and high speed operation, the proposed indirect detection can improve the electrical signal-to-noise ratio up to 50dB, 23dB and 4dB compared to direct detection by PbSe, HgCdTe and InSb detectors respectively. The improvement is more pronounced in detection of weak MWIR signals.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Mid-infrared (Mid-IR) spectral range is the window for a wide variety of applications, including free space communication, thermal and biomedical imaging, optical sensing, chemical spectroscopy and military applications, such as missile guidance/countermeasures[1-5]. To facilitate these applications, efficient light detection is a crucial step in mid-IR signal processing. Although commercial detectors are readily available for the whole mid-IR range, their operation condition, noise performance and speed are extremely limited [6]. For example, photovoltaic detectors with narrow bandgap for mid-IR require cooling to suppress dark current and photoconductive detectors are speed-limited by long carrier lifetimes (~1μs). A high-speed and compact solution for low-noise detection is still on-demand. While wavelength down-conversion is widely proposed to amplify mid-IR signals and generate mid-IR source [7-8], it can also be inversely utilized to solve the mid-IR light detection problem [9]. Compared to wavelength conversion in nonlinear crystals, waveguide-based wavelength converters can provide a chip-scale integrated solution with high effective nonlinearity due to its high optical confinement [8, 10]. However, the mature SOI waveguide technology meets its limitation in mid-IR due to optical loss associated with the buried oxide cladding. Among all proposed alternatives, silicon-on-sapphire (SOS) waveguides are demonstrated to be an excellent candidate, because sapphire has a transparency window up to 6μm and SOS waveguides are compatible with SOI technology [11-12]. Recently, we proposed a frequency band converter based on silicon-on-sapphire (SOS) waveguide capable of converting selected band of mid-IR signals to near-IR (1.55μm) [13]. Based on the converter, here we propose an indirect detection scheme for mid-IR signal by using near-IR detectors. Since detectors at telecommunication wavelengths exhibit superior performances in terms of speed, noise and sensitivity, the indirect detection scheme can be a promising candidate to improve the detection performance. Meanwhile, the four-wave-mixing wavelength conversion process is an instantaneous process and the speed in indirect detection is only limited by the near-IR detectors used after the converter. This enables much faster processing of mid-IR signal than direct detection. Also with the benefit of lower noise in near-IR detectors, the indirect scheme is possible to achieve the same signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) without any cooling.
Here, we analyze limits of indirect detection of mid-IR signals by frequency band conversion in SOS waveguides and investigate signal-to-noise-ratio (SNR) improvement that is attainable with respect to direct detection using state of the art commercial detectors. In particular, we provide a comparative study on the noise performance in detection of 4μm mid-IR signals by using PbSe, HgCdTe and InSb mid-IR detectors, and InGaAs photodetectors following a SOS channel waveguide based wavelength converter. We show that the proposed indirect detection can improve the electrical SNR (eSNR) up to 40dB with respect to direct detection, where the improvement is more pronounced in detection of weak incident signals.

2. DETECTION SETUP

Figure 1 illustrates the proposed detection scheme. The upper branch illustrates the indirect detection of mid-IR signals up-converted to near-IR wavelengths and detected by telecommunication detectors (InGaAs p-i-n detectors most widely used). Here the wavelength conversion is generated through FWM based modulational instability at SOS waveguides. This process is governed by a slightly modified Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation for ultra-wide frequency band conversion as shown below:

\[
\frac{dA_p}{dz} = - \frac{\alpha_p}{2} A_p + j \frac{2\pi n_2}{\lambda_p} \frac{|A_p|^2}{A_{p,\text{eff}}} + 2 \frac{|A_s|^2}{A_{s,\text{eff}}} + 2 \frac{|A_c|^2}{A_{c,\text{eff}}} A_p + j \lambda_p A_{p,\text{eff}} A_s A_c e^{j\Delta k z}
\]

\[
\frac{dA_s}{dz} = - \frac{\alpha_s}{2} A_s + j \frac{2\pi n_2}{\lambda_s} \frac{|A_s|^2}{A_{s,\text{eff}}} + 2 \frac{|A_p|^2}{A_{p,\text{eff}}} + 2 \frac{|A_c|^2}{A_{c,\text{eff}}} A_s + 2 \frac{j2\pi n_2}{\lambda_s A_{c,\text{eff}}} A_p A_s A_c e^{-j\Delta k z}
\]

\[
\frac{dA_c}{dz} = - \frac{\alpha_c}{2} A_c + j \frac{2\pi n_2}{\lambda_c} \frac{|A_c|^2}{A_{c,\text{eff}}} + 2 \frac{|A_p|^2}{A_{p,\text{eff}}} + 2 \frac{|A_s|^2}{A_{s,\text{eff}}} A_c + 2 \frac{j2\pi n_2}{\lambda_c A_{p,\text{eff}}} A_p A_s A_c e^{-j\Delta k z}
\]

As illustrated previously, dispersion engineering on waveguides can alter the dispersion curve to meet the phase-matching condition for different mid-IR signal wavelengths and facilitate frequency band conversion [13-14]. The dispersion of the designed waveguide is calculated with FEM method in COMSOL. A typical dispersion curve is shown in Figure 2. The modal profile demonstrates a good confinement and the blue dispersion curve shows a very flat profile in the mid-IR range at the same time. With this flat dispersion curve, we can achieve mid-IR wavelength conversion with wide bandwidth. For instance, Figure 3(a) shows the conversion efficiency from mid-IR to telecommunication wavelength that can be achieved in different waveguide geometries. Even with only 1D freedom on waveguide width, it is possible to convert mid-IR signal between 2μm and 6μm to near-IR wavelengths of 1.55μm, and hence such conversion ability renders itself a suitable platform to generate and detect mid-IR signals for many applications such as free space communication and optical sensing. The dark region in the figure corresponds to the pump wavelength region to enable the conversion from the peaked mid-IR to telecommunication wavelength. Thulium-doped fiber lasers and Chromium-based solid-state lasers can provide adequate pumping in this region [15]. Figure 3(b) shows the conversion efficiency and peak signal wavelength dependence which can be tuned by increasing pump intensity [13].
3. NOISE ANALYSIS

Noise in indirect detection may originate from wavelength conversion process or the detector itself. Noise associated with wavelength conversion includes quantum noise, Raman induced noise and pump transferred noise. Since the frequency separation is more than three times wider than the Raman shift in silicon the Raman induced noise is negligible. Also, the noise transfer from pump is considered to be detrimental for large signal powers, pump lasers with RIN>120dB/Hz and large phase noise [16-17]. Since the noise performance of mid-IR pump lasers is not well characterized we intentionally exclude the noise originating from the pump lasers in our calculations. However, excess quantum noise is always present in the parametric process and will be transferred to InGaAs-based p-i-n detector as quantum fluctuations, $i_{n,qt}^2$, described as [13]:

$$i_{n,qt}^2 = 4R^2G_iP_{in} \frac{hV}{2} (2G_i + 1)B_e$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Here, $R$ is the responsivity of the detector, $B_e$ is the electrical bandwidth, $P_{in}$ is the incident power and $G_i$ is the conversion efficiency numerically calculated by solving nonlinear Schrödinger Equation in SOS waveguide as shown in Figure 2(a). Other detector noise components in the InGaAs detector include thermal noise $i_{n,th}^2$ and shot noise $i_{n,sh}^2$ as in Equation(2) and (3), in which $R$ is load resistance, $F_n$ is the circuitry noise figure [18].
Lower arm in Figure 1 illustrates the direct detection of mid-IR signals. Commercial mid-IR detectors include photoconductive, photovoltaic and thermal detectors. Thermal detectors are too slow for real-time application, and hence only photoconductive and photovoltaic detectors are analyzed here. Photovoltaic detectors absorb photons with energy beyond and near their bandgap to generate current. Because the energy associated with mid-IR photons corresponds to a narrow bandgap, thermal generation can result in considerable dark current. Low temperature operation is always necessary to suppress dark current and the shot noise associated with it. The noise components in photovoltaic detectors include thermal noise, shot noise and flicker noise. If a low-pass filter is inserted to eliminate flicker noise, the total noise $i_{n,ph}^2$ will mainly consist of thermal noise $i_{n,th}^2$ and shot noise $i_{n,sh}^2$ as in Equation (2) and (3).

In photoconductive mode, photo-generated carriers change the conductivity of the medium and lead to a change on the voltage across the medium. Due to different detection mechanism, the noise composition in photoconductive detectors is also different from photovoltaic ones. Main noise components in photoconductive detectors include thermal noise, generation-recombination noise (GR-noise), background noise, flicker noise and also the noise transferred from voltage supply. While supply voltage induced noise can be minimized to negligible level by using low noise voltage supplies and flicker noise can also be eliminated by low-pass filters, the total noise $i_{n,pc}^2$ mainly includes thermal noise $i_{n,th}^2$, GR-noise $i_{n,gr}^2$ and background noise $i_{n,bg}^2$ [19-20]:

$$i_{n,pc}^2 = 4qI_0\frac{4qI_0\Gamma_G}{(1 + 4\pi^2 f^2 \tau^2)} df = \frac{2qI_0\Gamma_G}{\pi \tau} \tan^{-1}(2\pi \frac{B_e}{\tau})$$

$$i_{n,bg}^2 = q^2 \eta A E_B B_e$$

Where $\tau$ is average carrier lifetime, $I_0$ is the total generation current, $\Gamma_G$ is the current gain, $\eta$ is quantum efficiency, $A$ is active detector area, $E_B = \int_\Lambda \frac{2\pi c}{\lambda^4} \frac{1}{e^{hc/\lambda kT} - 1} d\lambda$ is the total photon flux density with $\lambda_c$ being the cut-off wavelength and $\varepsilon_\Lambda$ the emissivity for the window material.

### 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To assess the detection limit and evaluate noise performance we used state-of-the-art commercial mid-IR and near-IR photo-detectors in our calculation. In particular, we use InGaAs PIN detector for near-IR detection in indirect scheme. For direct mid-IR detection, three types of detectors are widely used: InSb photovoltaic, PbSe photoconductive HgCdTe photoconductive detector. While PbSe and HgCdTe detectors can work properly at room temperature, InSb detectors usually require liquid-nitrogen or multi-stage TE cooling. Their main parameters of these used detectors are listed in Table 1, which tracks the up-to-date commercial detectors, e.g. Hamamatsu and Vigo[6,21]. An electrical bandwidth of 0.1MHz is used to accommodate the relatively slow mid-IR detectors. Figure 4 illustrates the noise at InGaAs detector after the wavelength-converter at room temperature with incident power level of 1μW. At low pump intensities, the detection system will be limited by shot noise. As pump intensity increases above 0.4GW/cm², the conversion efficiency increases and the quantum noise becomes dominant. Thermal noise is minor and cooling of near-IR detector cannot further improve the noise performance in indirect detection.
For direct detection at mid-IR, thermal noise dominates in PbSe and HgCdTe detectors and shot noise is the dominant noise in the liquid nitrogen cooled InSb detectors. We estimate that for the same 1 μW input power level at mid-IR the total noise current in PbSe, HgCdTe and InSb detectors to be $0.10 \frac{pA}{\sqrt{Hz}}$, $4.09 \frac{pA}{\sqrt{Hz}}$ and $1.63 \frac{pA}{\sqrt{Hz}}$ respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table I. Detector parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T(K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InGaAs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PbSe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HgCdTe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InSb</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Electrical Signal to Noise Ratio (eSNR) is often used as performance metric. To assess the noise improvement through indirect detection we estimate the eSNR of detectors at three different incident power levels of 0.1 μW, 1 μW and 10 μW which are measured at the input of mid-IR detectors and at the input of the SOS wavelength converter. The eSNR enhancement is evaluated by comparing the eSNR results of three mid-IR detectors to that of the InGaAs detector as: $eSNR_{near-IR}/eSNR_{mid-IR}$, as illustrated in Figure 5(a). At an incident power level of 1μW, liquid nitrogen cooled InSb detectors can achieve about the same eSNR as indirect detection at 1550nm. However, indirect detection can provide >10 dB, and >35dB eSNR improvement over direct detection using HgCdTe and PbSe detectors, respectively. The eSNR improvement in these detectors is achieved for pump intensities above 0.1GW/cm$^2$ at which conversion efficiency exceeds -20dB. At the pump intensities below 1 GW/cm$^2$ the indirect detection will be limited by thermal and shot noise and we obtain increasing eSNR with increasing conversion efficiency. However, at pump intensities above 1GW/cm$^2$ the indirect detection will be limited by the quantum noise of the parametric process and the eSNR improvement will saturate at 40dB level with respect to PbSe detector for 1μW input power. The advantage of indirect detection will be more obvious for low input power levels. For instance, the SNR improvement with respect to PbSe can go up to 50 dB for 0.1μW input power levels. Moreover, we even expect that indirect detection can provide 7dB eSNR improvement with respect to liquid nitrogen cooled InSb detectors at such low power levels.

The eSNR improvement is wavelength dependent as the conversion efficiency for fixed pump intensity. As Figure 5(b) illustrates, we can attain eSNR improvements within up to 300nm, 200nm conversion bandwidths centered at 4μm for input power levels of 0.1μW with respect to PbSe and InSb detectors, respectively. Although the quantum noise saturates the eSNR improvement at the peak conversion wavelength, eSNR improvement bandwidth increases with increasing pump intensity. This is consistent with the tendency on conversion bandwidth increase with increasing pump intensity [22].
In summary, Due to high losses, conventional SOI platforms cannot support MWIR photonic IC and planar devices on silicon-on-sapphire (SOS) are emerging as a prospective replacement. Properly-designed SOS waveguides are capable of converting selected MWIR band to SWIR, where the signals are detected with mature telecommunication detectors. We compare the noise performance of this indirect detection with direct detection using MWIR detectors and show that, in addition to room temperature and high speed operation, the proposed indirect detection can improve the electrical signal-to-noise ratio up to 50dB, 23dB and 4dB compared to direct detection using PbSe, HgCdTe and InSb detectors respectively.

Additionally, we compared the noise performance of direct and indirect detection through wavelength conversion of mid-IR signals. At low incident power, indirect detection shows better eSNR performance. At higher incident power, although liquid nitrogen cooled InSb photovoltaic detectors can achieve better SNR, indirect detection is still desirable for high-speed and room-temperature applications. The indirect detection scheme provides a solution for high speed processing of mid-IR signal with good noise performance, which can potentially drive the integration of photonic and electric IC.
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Figure 5. (a) eSNR improvement by using indirect detection with respect to direct detection. PbSe and HgCdTe photoductive detector is set to operate at room temperature and InSb photovoltaic detector is liquid nitrogen cooled to 77K. (b) Bandwidth on which there is SNR improvement by using indirect detection increase almost linearly with intensity after the threshold and before saturation (P_in=0.1μW).
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